





# **Green Port Performance Index (GPPI)**

Measuring Progress, Powering Green Transformation (October 2025, Rev-O)





















# **Green Port Performance Index**

"Measuring Progress, Powering Green Transformation"

(October 2025, Rev-O)

# **Contents**

| 1.  | Introduction                           | .4  |
|-----|--|-----|
|     | Objectives and Benefits                |     |
| 3.  | Applicability                          | . 4 |
| 4.  | Definitions                            | . 4 |
| 5.  | GPPI Framework                         | . 5 |
| 6.  | Awards and Recognition                 | . 5 |
| 7.  | Designated Agency                      | . 5 |
| 8.  | Review of GPPI                         | .6  |
| 9.  | Table 1: Green Ports Performance Index | . 7 |
| 10. | Calculation Methodology                | .8  |
| 11  | List of Ahbreviations                  | 10  |

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 India's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) outlines the country's commitments to addressing climate change. India has declared its goal to achieve net-zero emissions by 2070 and aims to reduce its carbon emissions intensity by 45% by 2030, compared to 2005 levels.
- 1.2 In alignment with these national targets and the Maritime Amrit Kaal Vision 2047, which envisions a sustainable, green, and technology-driven maritime ecosystem, the Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways (MoPSW) introduces the Green Port Performance Index (GPPI). The index provides an objective framework to measure the environmental performance of ports and track their decarbonization journey.
- 1.3 The GPPI builds upon the principles of the Harit Sagar Guidelines, which promote ecosystem restoration, carbon neutrality, and responsible port development. It will serve as a strategic tool to evaluate emissions originating from Indian ports and aligns with globally recognized methodologies for assessing green port credentials, enabling inter-port comparisons based on the sustainability performance.
- 1.4 Through this initiative, India seeks to benchmark its ports against international standards, drive continuous improvement and accelerate adoption of best practices in sustainable port operations—advancing the nation's transition towards a green and resilient maritime future.
- 1.5 The framework organizes 13 identified indicators into three major objectives: Climate Change, Environmental Health, and Ecosystem Vitality.

### 2. Objectives and Benefits

- 2.1 Tracking Green Transition: The GPPI can help ports track their progress towards reducing their environmental impact. This can be done by comparing the GPPI scores over time and across ports.
- 2.2 Monitor and Control Emissions: The index will help to establish a standardized approach for calculating emissions and implement effective measures to reduce it.
- 2.3 Assess the Environmental Impact of Visiting Ships: The GPPI will help to evaluate the environmental footprint of vessels calling at the port.
- 2.4 Strategize and Incentivize: The GPPI can help ports to develop strategies to reduce the environmental impact of shipping traffic by identifying the types of ships that have the greatest environmental impact and to target these ships with incentives to reduce their emissions.
- 2.5 Enhance Global Competitiveness: Strengthen the competitiveness of Indian ports by aligning them with international green standards, showcasing leadership in sustainable port practices on the global stage.

# 3. Applicability

- 3.1 All operational Indian Ports notified under Indian Ports Act, 2025.
- 3.2 Assessment to be done annually (in April for the preceding financial year).

#### 4. Definitions

4.1 **Scope 1 GHG Emissions**: Scope 1 GHG emissions refer to the direct GHG emissions that an organization releases into the atmosphere from sources it owns or controls. These include emissions from fuel combustion in boilers, furnaces, vehicles, machinery, and the organization's own facilities,

- equipment, and transportation fleet. Such emissions are a direct result of the organization's operational activities and are critical in assessing the environmental impact of its logistics and supply chain operations.
- 4.2 **Scope 2 GHG emissions**: Scope 2 GHG emissions encompass the GHG emissions resulting from the generation of purchased electricity consumed by an organization. These emissions arise from electricity that is purchased or otherwise brought into the organizational boundary.
  - (It is important to note that Scope 2 emissions physically occur at the facility where the electricity is generated, even though the consumption takes place within the organization's operations. Understanding Scope 2 emissions is crucial for evaluating the indirect environmental impact associated with the organization's energy use.)
- 4.3 **Scope 3 GHG emissions**: Scope 3 GHG emissions refer to all other indirect GHG emissions that are a consequence of the organization's activities but originate from sources not owned or controlled by the organization. This reporting category includes emissions from various external sources, such as third-party transportation providers (e.g., trucks) and waste-to-energy (WtE) operations. Scope 3 emissions can encompass a broad range of activities across the supply chain and are essential for a comprehensive understanding of the organization's broader environmental impact. Tracking and managing these emissions help identify opportunities for collaboration and improvement in sustainability practices beyond the organization's direct control.

#### 5. GPPI Framework

- 5.1 The GPPI framework includes 13 indicators based on their merit, into three major objectives: Climate Change, Ecosystem Vitality, and Environmental Health. Each indicator has been chosen based on its specificity, measurability, and relevance to accurately reflect the sustainability performance of ports. The primary focus is on output-based indicators, which ensure the achievement of tangible environmental results. In scenarios where direct output measurement is challenging or impractical, relevant input parameters have been identified. However, the overall assessment is predominantly output-focused to maximize environmental impact. The list of the 13 indicators categorized into the 3 objectives along with their weightages is given in Table 1.
- 5.2 The values of indicators 1 to 8, and 13 shall be converted to a percentile basis which will then be multiplied by the corresponding weightage of the indicator. This standardization will harmonize parameters expressed in different units, enabling their aggregation into a composite score that can be used to rank ports objectively.
- 5.3 The GPPI is a dynamic framework, subject to continuous improvement and evolution. It will be progressively enhanced by incorporating additional parameters and refining methodologies as new metrics are identified and validated for inclusion.

# 6. Awards and Recognition

6.1 The GPPI scores will also serve as the basis for instituting a new category—'Best Port in Sustainability' under the various recognition and awards instituted under the Ministry of Ports, Shipping, and Waterways, such as the 'Sagar Ratna Awards' at the India Maritime Week, and the 'Sagar Shrestha Samman' awards for the Major Ports. All participating ports will be eligible for nomination, with recognition granted to those demonstrating exemplary performance in environmental sustainability and green port initiatives.

# 7. Designated Agency

7.1 The National Centre of Excellence for Green Ports and Shipping (NCoEGPS), established at TERI, New Delhi, will serve as the designated agency for data collection, validation, and GPPI calculation. As the technological arm of MoPSW, NCoEGPS provides policy, research, and cooperative support in advancing green shipping and sustainable port development.

#### 8. Review of GPPI

8.1 Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways (MoPSW) may renew/amend/modify the provisions of this GPPI, as and when required in consultation with stakeholders.

Table 1: GPPI framework with indicators across categories along with their weightages

| Category                | Parameter   | Туре       | Units                                       | Weightage<br>within<br>Category | Category<br>Weightage | Net Overall<br>Weightage |
|-------------------------|---|------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                         | Scope 1 GHG emissions per tonne of cargo  | Output     | $tCO_2e$                                    | 30%                             |                       | 21%                      |
| Climate                 | Scope 2 GHG emissions per tonne of cargo  | Output     | tCO <sub>2</sub> e                          | 30%                             | ò                     | 21%                      |
| change                  | Scope 3 GHG emissions per tonne of cargo  | Output     | tCO <sub>2</sub> e                          | 30%                             | %0/                   | 21%                      |
|                         | Energy consumption per tonne of cargo   | Efficiency | kWh/tonne                                   | 10%                             |                       | %2                       |
|                         | Percentage of freshwater consumption (of total water consumption)   | Input      | %   | %07                             |                       | %8                       |
| Ecosystem vitality      | Percentage of dredged material repurposed for land reclamation or construction  | Input      | %   | 10%                             | 20%                   | 2%                       |
|                         | Percentage of green cover   | Input      | %   | 10%                             |                       | 2%                       |
|                         | Percentage of sewage treated on site  | Input      | %   | %07                             |                       | %8                       |
|                         | Air quality (SO <sub>x</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>25</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , Pb, CO, NH <sub>3</sub> , benzene, benzopyrene, As, Ni, etc.) | Output     |   | 15%                             |                       | 1.5%                     |
|                         | Harbour water quality (pH, dissolved O <sub>2</sub> ,<br>faecal coliform, BOD, COD, Hg, Pb, temp, TDS,<br>conductivity, nitrate, turbidity, Salinity, etc.)           | Output     | Binary (0 or<br>1 based on<br>environmental | 15%                             |                       | 1.5%                     |
| Environmental<br>health | Noise level (LA <sub>eq</sub> continuous noise level, LA <sub>max</sub><br>noise level, noise level DG set)   | Output     | compliance<br>report to<br>CPCB)            | 15%                             | 10%                   | 1.5%                     |
|                         | Effluent discharge (STP) (pH, COD, BOD, TSS,<br>nitrate, NH <sub>3</sub> , Fecal coliform, etc.)  | Output     |   | 15%                             |                       | 1.5%                     |
|                         | Percentage of monitors installed (CAAQMS, OCEMS, CMWQMS, noise monitors)  | Input      | %   | %07                             |                       | %5                       |
|                         |   |            | Total                                       |                                 | 100%                  | 100%                     |

# 9. Calculation Methodology

#### 9.1 Scope 1 emissions per tonne of cargo

| Scope 1 components  |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| Direct emissions arising from owned or controlled stationary sources (cranes,                                   | Fuels              |
| DG sets, cargo-handling equipment) that use fossil fuels and/or emit fugitive emissions)                        | Refrigerants       |
|   | Passenger vehicles |
| Direct emissions from owned or controlled mobile sources (cars, trucks, forklifts, tugs, and other port crafts) | Delivery vehicles  |
|   | Harbour vessels    |

$$[(Fuel_1 \times EF_1) + (Fuel_2 \times EF_2) + ... (Fuel_n \times EF_n) + (Refrigerants \times EF_r) + (WtE \times EF_w)]$$

$$Total \ cargo \ handled \ in \ tonne$$

Where Fuel is measured in litres & EF is fuel or refrigerant-specific emission factor in  $CO_2$  eq per litre

WtE: Waste used for generating energy

 $\mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{w}}$  is the emission factor of the waste used for generating energy

#### 9.2 Scope 2 emissions per tonne of cargo

| Scope 2 components  |                     |  |
|---|---------------------|--|
| Location-based emissions from the generation of purchased electricity | Electricity         |  |
| Location-based emissions from the generation of porchased electricity | Electricity for EVs |  |
| Conventional Power used or purchased in kWh × EF <sub>p</sub>         |                     |  |
| (Total cargo handled in tonnes)                                       |                     |  |

Where  $EF_p$  is the emission factor of the electricity generation depending upon grid mix/mode of generation in  $CO_2$  eq per kWh

**Note**: Different sources of conventional power have different EF. Ports to provide the breakup. If unavailable, an average emission factor will be considered.

#### 9.3 Scope 3 emissions per tonne of cargo

| Scope 3 components            |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Fuel- and energy-             | Fuel consumed by vessels while in port alongside                             |  |
| related activities            | Fuel consumed by trucks while in port  |  |
| Waste generated in operations | Waste (disposed to municipal corporation or landfill within or outside port) |  |

 $[(Fuel_1 \times EF_1) + (Fuel_2 \times EF_2) + ... (Fuel_n \times EF_n) + (Waste disposed \times EF_w)]$ (Total cargo handled in tonnes)

Where fuels burnt by vessels is in port in cubic metres ( $m^3$ ) and EF is fuel-specific emission factor in  $CO_2$  eq per  $m^3$ .

#### 9.4 Energy consumption per tonne of cargo

[Total fuels consumed (converted to kWh) + Total electricity consumed (purchased + self-produced) in kWh]

(Total cargo handled in tonnes)

**Note**: Different fuels have different conversion factors to  $k_w$ h. Ports to provide the breakup of sources of conventional power.

#### 9.5 Percentage freshwater consumption (of total water consumption)

| Freshwater taken from municipal corporation, rivers, lakes,in m <sup>3</sup>                     | - ×100 |
|--|--------|
| Total water consumed from all sources including recycled or treated wastewater in m <sup>3</sup> | *100   |

#### 9.6 Percentage of dredged material repurposed for land reclamation or construction

#### 9.7 Percentage green cover:

| Area under green vegetation (acres)       | 100  |
|---|------|
| Total land area owned by the port (acres) | ×100 |

#### 9.8 Percentage sewage treated on site:

| Sewage treated within port (m³) | - ×100 |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Sewage generated in port (m³)   | - ×100 |

#### 9.9 Air quality (SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>25</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, Pb, CO, NH<sub>3</sub>, benzene, benzopyrene, As, Ni, etc.)

Scoring shall be binary (0 or 1), determined by the submission of the compliance report and its formal acceptance by the CPCB or the respective SPCB.

# 9.10 Harbour water quality (pH, dissolved O<sub>2</sub>, faecal coliform, BOD, COD, Hg, Pb, Temp, TDS, conductivity, nitrate, turbidity, salinity, etc.)

Scoring shall be binary (0 or 1), determined by the submission of the compliance report and its formal acceptance by the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) or the respective State Pollution Control Board (SPCB).

#### 9.11 Noise level (LA<sub>ea</sub> continuous noise level, LA<sub>max</sub> noise level, Noise level DG set)

Scoring shall be binary (0 or 1), determined by the submission of the compliance report and its formal acceptance by the CPCB or the respective SPCB.

### 9.12 Effluent discharge (STP) (pH, COD, BOD, TSS, Nitrate, NH<sub>3</sub>, faecal coliform, etc.)

Scoring shall be binary (0 or 1), determined by the submission of the compliance report and its formal acceptance by the CPCB or the respective SPCB.

#### 9.13 Percentage of continuous real-time monitoring systems installed vis-à-vis the required number:

| No.of CAAQMS, OCEMS, CMWQMS and Noise monitors installed (calibrated and approved) |      |
|--|------|
| No.of required CAAQMS, OCEMS, CMWQMS and noise monitors as per guidelines          | ×100 |

### 10. List of Abbreviations

| GHG                | Greenhouse gas                                    |
|--------------------|---|
| WtE                | Waste-to-energy                                   |
| tCO <sub>2</sub> e | Tonne of carbon dioxide equivalent                |
| kWh                | Kilowatt-hour                                     |
| EF                 | Emission factor                                   |
| CAAQMS             | Continuous ambient air quality monitoring system  |
| OCEMS              | Online continuous emission monitoring system      |
| CMWQMS             | Continuous marine water quality monitoring system |
| STP                | Sewage treatment plant                            |
| COD                | Chemical oxygen demand                            |
| BOD                | Biological oxygen demand                          |
| TSS                | Total suspended solids                            |
| NH <sub>3</sub>    | Ammonia   |
| LA <sub>eq</sub>   | Equivalent continuous noise level                 |
| LA <sub>max</sub>  | Maximum noise level                               |
| DG                 | Diesel generator                                  |
| $\bigcirc_2$       | Oxygen  |
| Нд                 | Mercury   |
| Pb                 | Lead  |
| As                 | Arsenic   |
| Ni                 | Nickel  |
| TDS                | Total dissolved solids                            |
| SO <sub>x</sub>    | Sulphur oxides                                    |
| NO <sub>x</sub>    | Nitrogen oxides                                   |
| PM <sub>10</sub>   | Particulate matter ≤10 micrometres                |

| PM <sub>2.5</sub> | Particulate matter ≤2.5 micrometres |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| O <sub>3</sub>    | Ozone                               |
| CO                | Carbon monoxide                     |
| MC                | Municipal corporation               |



# **Summary**

The Green Port Performance Index (GPPI) represents a pioneering effort by the Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways (MoPSW) to objectively assess and promote environmental sustainability across Indian ports. By integrating measurable indicators under Climate Change, Environmental Health, and Ecosystem Vitality, the GPPI serves as a benchmark for evaluating ports' decarbonization progress. It enables data-driven decision-making, helping ports identify emission hotspots, monitor their environmental footprint, and align with India's national targets for net-zero emissions by 2070. The framework encourages continuous improvement, innovation, and adoption of global best practices. Through recognition and awards, it also motivates ports to invest in green technologies and operational efficiency. As India positions itself as a leader in sustainable maritime development, the GPPI provides the foundation for transparent, comparable, and forward-looking assessments that accelerate the nation's green transition in port operations.







